

### REMARKS

Claims 1-11, 13, 14, 16-23 and 27-36 were pending and under consideration, claims 12, 15 and 24-26 having been cancelled..

In the Office Action of September 16, 2005, claims 13, 14 and 18 were allowed, claims 1-11, 16-17, 19-23 and 27-36 were rejected. Various drawing requirements were posed.


In response, claims 1-11, 16, 17, 19-23 and 27-36 have been cancelled, leaving only allowed claims 13, 14, and 18. This amendment is made as a matter of expedience and without prejudice to pursuing the rejected claims in a continuation application, and without acquiescing in the rejection.

Regarding the drawing requirements as they might apply to the cancelled claims, it is submitted that the cancellation of those claims moots the requirements.

Regarding the drawing requirements as they might apply to the allowed claims, it is submitted that the requirements are not proper. The requirements ask for an illustration of a characteristic of the fractal structures, not structure. The illustration of a characteristic rather than a structure or step is believed to be an improper requirement under 37 CFR 1.83(a).

In view of the foregoing, it is submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. Notice to that effect is requested.

Respectfully submitted,



(Reg. No. 32,919)

David R. Metzger  
SONNENSCHN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP  
P. O. Box 061080  
Wacker Drive Station - Sears Tower  
Chicago, Illinois 60606-1080  
Telephone (312) 876 8000  
Customer No. 26263